UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,239	12/20/2005	Claude Juneau	06670/0203474-US0	8830	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 11/30/200 RBY P.C.	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street S	Itation	GRANO, ERNESTO ARTURIO			
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/552,239	JUNEAU, CLAUD	DE			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ERNESTO A. GRANO	3728				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>reje</u>	ected				
(1) <u>ERNESTO A. GRANO</u> .	(3) <u>PETER LUDWIG</u> .					
(2) <u>EHUD GARTENBERG</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 November 2009	Time: <u>4:00</u>					
Type of Interview:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: NA						
Claims discussed: NA						
Prior art documents discussed: NA						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of th interview, since t	e substance			
/Ehud Gartenberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728 /Ernesto A Grano/ Examiner, Art Unit 3728	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview, in order to request clarifications regaurding the drawings, that it is believed do not clearly enable one of ordinay skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention (see 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph). Specifically, Figures 10 and 12 reference numbers, such as 60(blunt end), 62(arc), 64(jamb), and other missing claimed structure needs to be included. In Figures 11 and 13 reference numbers need to be included to show the claimed structure of the invention. Also, Figures 11 and 13 fail to cooperate with Figures 10 and 12; wherein the blunt end of the tooth is aligned with the tanks outer wall in Figures 10 and 12 and the blunt end of the tooth is aligned with the tanks innermost wall in Figures 11 and 13. In Figure 15 reference numbers need to be included to show the claimed structure of the invention, such as the tooth, the blunt end, etc. Furthermore, Figures, 10-15 need to be properly cross sketched according to the MPEP 608.01 IX and in Figures 10 and 12 the fluid should be shown all over the inside of the container.